

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
7

8 JEFFREY L. DRYDEN,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ANDREA BAREFIELD, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.  
13

CASE NO.: 2:13-CV-1896-RCJ-PAL

**ORDER**

14 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge (#6<sup>1</sup>) entered on  
15 October 23, 2014, recommending that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (#5) be treated as the  
16 operative pleading and screen it. Plaintiff filed his Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and  
17 Recommendation (#9) on November 12, 2014.

18 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of  
19 the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant  
20 to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's  
21 Report and Recommendation (#6) entered on October 23, 2014, should be ADOPTED AND  
22 ACCEPTED.

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's First Amendment retaliation, Fourteenth Amendment  
24 equal protection, and Nevada law intrusion claims are DISMISSED.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

---


<sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's claims against all Defendants in their official  
2 capacities be DISMISSED.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's claims against Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 ARE  
4 DISMISSED.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of January, 2015.

7  
8   
9 ROBERT C. JONES  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28